

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,970	02/02/2004	Thomas J. Prorock	RPS920030156US1/2919P	9128
7559 05/14/2099 IBM RP-RPS SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP 2465 E. Bayshore Road, Suite No. 406 PALO ALTO. CA 94303			EXAMINER	
			BROWN, ALVIN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@sawyerlawgroup.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/769.970 PROROCK ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3622 ALVIN L. BROWN All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ALVIN L. BROWN. (2) Joseph Sawyer. (4)____. Date of Interview: 07 May 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The crux of the application lies in the coupon being stored in a personal or global pool. However, examiner notes that those features will require further search in related prior art. Also discussed was the use of "if" statement in claim 1. By using the broadest reasonable interpretation the "if" statement and subsequent features in claim 1 are optional and should be amended in other that the claim may be positively recited and also for logical clarity. . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Arthur Duran/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3622
U.S. Patent and Trademan Office